

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, O.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Г		_		EXAMINER
1		1	MIS,D	
OLE K. NIL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAESAR DRI BARRINGTON	VE , IL 60010	1	DATE MAILED:	/12
				05/11/92
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.				
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS				
		. *		
a. The amends provisions of portions and	IVEN ONE MONTH N THE LAST OFFICE ment to claim(s) of 37 C.F.R. 1.121 d complying with the	and is accordingly held to be non-responsive. A	THE EXPIRATION WHICH TO CORR	N OF THE PERIOD FOR ECT THE INFORMALITY.
c. The paper is signed by, who is not of record. A ratification or a new power of attorney with a ratification, or a duplicate paper signed by a person of record, is required.				
d. The communication is presented on paper which will not provide a permanent copy. A permanent copy, or a request that a permanent copy be made by the Office at applicant's expense, is required, see M.P.E.P. 714.07. e. Other See The attached letter.				
2. In accordance with applicant's request, THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE FROM THE OFFICE ACTION DATED				
IS EXTENDED TO RUN MONTH(S).				
No further extension will be granted unless approved by the Commissioner. 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (b)				
3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 which papers have been made of record in the file. 4. Other				

Serial No. 717,860

Art Unit 2502

The communication filed on April 20, 1992 is non-responsive to the prior Office action because claims 1-30 were rejected, however only claims 1-12, 16-24, and 13, 27-30 are canceled or supported in the amendment. Applicant must attempt to point out the patentable novelty which is believed to exist for the other pending claims as well. Since the response appears to be bona fide, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a time limit of one month from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the last Office action, whichever is the longer. NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 C.F.R.

§ 1.136(a) OR (b) BUT THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MAY BE EXTENDED UP TO A MAXIMUM OF SIX MONTHS.

It is asserted that the waveforms were known since they are associated with the patented means by continuation of the patent application and so it seems likely that they are merely elaborated on in the current continuation. It is required that such facts be clarified.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Mis at telephone number (703) 308-4907.

DAVID MIS EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 252

Mis/EW May 07, 1992